

SUPPLEMENT TO “COPULAS AND TEMPORAL
DEPENDENCE”: APPENDIX

BY BRENDAN K. BEARE

(*Econometrica*, Vol. 78, No. 1, January 2010, 395–410)

This supplementary appendix contains proofs of the theorems given in the main paper.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1: Since $\{Z_i\}$ is a stationary Markov chain, it is known (see Theorems 7.3(b) and 3.29(II) in Bradley (2007)) that its β -mixing coefficients satisfy

$$\beta_k = \frac{1}{2} \|F_{0,k}(x, y) - F(x)F(y)\|_{\text{TV}},$$

where $F_{0,k}$ is the joint distribution function of Z_0 and Z_k , and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{TV}}$ is total variation (in the Vitali sense).

From Sklar’s theorem, we thus have

$$\beta_k = \frac{1}{2} \|C_k(F(x), F(y)) - F(x)F(y)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|C_k(x, y) - xy\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

Equation (2.1) implies that C_k inherits the property of absolute continuity from C . Letting c_k denote the density of C_k , we now have that $\beta_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \|c_k - 1\|_1$ and hence $\beta_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \|c_k - 1\|_2$.

As a symmetric square-integrable joint density function with uniform marginals, c admits the mean square convergent expansion

$$(A.1) \quad c(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y),$$

where the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ form a nonincreasing square-summable sequence of nonnegative real numbers and the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_i\}$ form a complete orthonormal sequence in $L_2[0, 1]$. Expansions of this form were studied by Lancaster (1958), Rényi (1959), and Sarmanov (1958a, 1958b, 1961). Using (2.1), we deduce that the densities c_k satisfy

$$c_k(x, y) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^k \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y),$$

which is simply a restatement of a result due to Sarmanov (1961) in terms of copula functions. We now have

$$\|c_k - 1\|_2 = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^k \phi_i(x) \phi_i(y) \right\|_2,$$

and so with two applications of Parseval's equality, we obtain

$$\|c_k - 1\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{2k} \right)^{1/2} \leq \lambda_1^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^2 \right)^{1/2} = \lambda_1^{k-1} \|c - 1\|_2.$$

As observed by Lancaster (1958), Rényi (1959), and Sarmanov (1958a, 1958b, 1961), λ_1 is equal to the maximal correlation of C . Since this quantity is assumed to be less than 1, the proof is complete. *Q.E.D.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2: Suppose first that $\rho_C = 1$. As observed by Lancaster (1958), Rényi (1959), and Sarmanov (1958a, 1958b), the supremum in (3.1) is achieved by a specific pair of functions f, g when c is square integrable. Consequently, for such f, g , we have $\iint f(x)g(y)c(x, y) dx dy = 1$. Further, since $\int f^2 = \int g^2 = 1$ and the density c has uniform marginals, we have $\iint f(x)^2 c(x, y) dx dy = \iint g(y)^2 c(x, y) dx dy = 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x)g(y)c(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x)^2 c(x, y) dx dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 g(y)^2 c(x, y) dx dy \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality holds with equality. This can be true only if the set $D = \{(x, y) : f(x) \neq g(y)\}$ satisfies $\iint_D c = 0$. Let $A = \{x : f(x) \geq 0\}$ and $B = \{y : g(y) < 0\}$. The conditions $\int f = \int g = 0$ and $\int f^2 = \int g^2 = 1$ ensure that A and B have measure strictly between zero and one. Since $(A \times B) \cup (A^c \times B^c) \subseteq D$, we have $\iint_{(A \times B) \cup (A^c \times B^c)} c = 0$, and hence $c = 0$ almost everywhere on $(A \times B) \cup (A^c \times B^c)$.

Suppose next that $c = 0$ almost everywhere on $(A \times B) \cup (A^c \times B^c)$, where A, B have measure strictly between zero and one. Let $f(x) = 1$ ($x \in A$) and $g(y) = 1$ ($y \notin B$). It is easily verified that $f(x) = g(y)$ on a subset of $[0, 1]^2$ over which c integrates to 1. Since neither f nor g is constant almost everywhere, it follows that $\rho_C = 1$. *Q.E.D.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3: We will show that C cannot exhibit lower tail dependence when c is square integrable and μ_L exists. The corresponding result

for upper tail dependence can be shown in essentially the same way. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in (0, 1]$, we may write

$$\frac{C(x, x)}{x} = x + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x^{-1} \left(\int_0^x \phi_i(z) dz \right)^2 + \xi_n(x),$$

where ξ_n is defined by this equation. The Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1} \left(\int_0^x \phi_i(z) dz \right)^2 &\leq x^{-1} \left(\int_0^x dz \right) \left(\int_0^x \phi_i(z)^2 dz \right) \\ &= \left(\int_0^x \phi_i(z)^2 dz \right). \end{aligned}$$

Square integrability of ϕ_i therefore implies that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x^{-1/2} \int_0^x \phi_i(z) dz = 0$. We thus obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{C(x, x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \xi_n(x) \leq \|\xi_n\|_\infty$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It thus suffices to show that $\|\xi_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using Cauchy–Schwarz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_n\|_\infty &= \left\| x^{-1} \int_0^x \int_0^x \left(c(u, v) - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i(u) \phi_i(v) \right) du dv \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\int_0^x \int_0^x \left(c(u, v) - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i(u) \phi_i(v) \right)^2 du dv \right)^{1/2} \right\|_\infty \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(c(u, v) - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i(u) \phi_i(v) \right)^2 du dv \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Convergence of this last term to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is the content of our series expansion (A.1). *Q.E.D.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1: Since $\{Z_t\}$ is a Markov chain, Theorem 7.5(I)(a) of Bradley (2007) implies that ρ_k decays geometrically fast if $\rho_1 < 1$. We thus need only show that $\rho_1 \leq \rho_C$. Given σ -fields $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, let $\rho(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup_{f, g} |\text{Corr}(f, g)|$, where the supremum is taken over all random variables f and g measurable with respect to \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively, with positive and finite variance. Since $\{Z_t\}$ is a stationary Markov chain, Theorem 7.3(c) in Bradley (2007) implies that $\rho_1 = \rho(\sigma(Z_0), \sigma(Z_1))$. Let U, V be random variables with joint distribution function C , and let F^{-1} denote the quasi-inverse

distribution function given by $F^{-1}(z) = \inf_x \{F(x) \geq z\}$. Then $Z_0^* = F^{-1}(U)$ and $Z_1^* = F^{-1}(V)$ have the same joint distribution as Z_0 and Z_1 , and so Proposition 3.6(I)(c) of Bradley (2007) implies that $\rho_1 = \rho(\sigma(Z_0^*), \sigma(Z_1^*))$. Since $\sigma(Z_0^*) \subseteq \sigma(U)$ and $\sigma(Z_1^*) \subseteq \sigma(V)$, it follows that $\rho_1 \leq \rho(\sigma(U), \sigma(V))$. We conclude by noting that $\rho(\sigma(U), \sigma(V)) = \rho_C$. *Q.E.D.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.2: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $c(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ almost everywhere on $[0, 1]^2$. Consider $f, g \in L_2[0, 1]$ with $\int f = \int g = 0$ and $\int f^2 = \int g^2 = 1$. Begin by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \iint f(x)g(y)C(dx, dy) &= \frac{1}{2} \iint (f(x)^2 + g(y)^2)C(dx, dy) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \iint (f(x) - g(y))^2 C(dx, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(f(x) - g(y))^2 \geq 0$ and $c(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ almost everywhere, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint (f(x) - g(y))^2 C(dx, dy) &\geq \iint (f(x) - g(y))^2 c(x, y) dx dy \\ &\geq \varepsilon \iint (f(x) - g(y))^2 dx dy \\ &= 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since it is also the case that $\iint (f(x)^2 + g(y)^2)C(dx, dy) = 2$, we obtain $\iint f(x)g(y)C(dx, dy) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$, implying that the maximal correlation of C cannot exceed $1 - \varepsilon$. *Q.E.D.*

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.3: Let \mathcal{S}_n denote the class of real-valued functions f on $[0, 1]$ that can be written in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i 1_{((i-1)/n, i/n]}(x),$$

where f_1, \dots, f_n are real numbers. If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A.2)} \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f(x)g(y)C(dx, dy) &- \left(\int_0^1 f(x) dx \right) \left(\int_0^1 g(y) dy \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f_i g_j K_n(i, j). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, nQ_n is the maximum of the left-hand side of (A.2) over $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $\int f^2 = \int g^2 = 1$. It follows that nQ_n is the maximum of

$\int \int f(x)g(y)C(dx, dy)$ over $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $\int f = \int g = 0$ and $\int f^2 = \int g^2 = 1$. Our desired result now follows from the definition of ρ_C and the fact that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_n$ is a dense subset of $L_2[0, 1]$. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

- BRADLEY, R. C. (2007): *Introduction to Strong Mixing Conditions*, Vols. 1–3. Heber City: Kendrick Press. [1,3,4]
- LANCASTER, H. O. (1958): “The Structure of Bivariate Distributions,” *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 29, 719–736. [1,2]
- RÉNYI, A. (1959): “On Measures of Dependence,” *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 10, 441–451. [1,2]
- SARMANOV, O. V. (1958a): “Maximum Correlation Coefficient (Symmetric Case),” *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 120, 715–718 (in Russian). English translation: *Selected Translations in Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 4, 271–275 (1963). [1,2]
- (1958b): “Maximum Correlation Coefficient (Nonsymmetric Case),” *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 121, 52–55 (in Russian). [1,2]
- (1961): “Investigation of Stationary Markov Processes by the Method of Eigenfunction Expansion,” *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta Imeni V. A. Steklova*, 60, 238–261 (in Russian). English translation: *Selected Translations in Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 4, 245–269 (1963). [1,2]

*Dept. of Economics, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive,
La Jolla, CA 92093-0508, U.S.A.; bbeare@ucsd.edu.*

Manuscript received September, 2008; final revision received June, 2009.